



0 0

Introduction to gyrokinetics –part 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 Josefine H.E. Proll - with great thanks to my PhD students Maikel Morren and Paul Mulholland This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium, funded by the European Union via **EURO***fusion* the Euratom Research and Training Programme (Grant Agreement No 101052200 - EUROfusion). Views and opinions

expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Commission. Neither the European Union nor the European Commission can be held responsible for them.

How do we end up with turbulence?







Turbulence comes from perturbations that grow (i.e. instabilities), which then interact







Geometry of magnetic field







0

0 0

> This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium, funded by the European Union via the Euratom Research and Training Programme (Grant Agreement No 101052200 — EUROfusion). Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Commission. Neither the European Union nor the European Commission can be held responsible for them.



Thanks to Paul Mulholland for this animation!

















































 $abla \mathbf{n}$ $\mathbf{v}_{d,e}$ $\odot \mathbf{B}$ X $\mathbf{v}_{d,i}$

Drifts from curvature and gradient of the magnetic field, only non-vanishing for trapped particles

















ITG yet again, but a different cartoon ©





How would we actually calculate this?



Start from linearised, electrostatic gyrokinetic equation

$$v_{\parallel} \nabla_{\parallel} g_a - i(\omega - \omega_{da}) g_a = -\frac{ie_a}{T_a} J_0 \phi \left(\omega - \omega_{*a}^T\right) f_{a0}$$

iPad time 🙂

The ITG dispersion relation



• Dispersion relation $D(\omega, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}, \varphi) \rightarrow D_0(\omega, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}, \varphi)$

$$\left(1+\frac{T_i}{T_e}\right)\varphi(l) = \int \frac{\omega - \omega_{\star i}^T}{\omega - \omega_{di}} \frac{F_{Mi}}{n_o} J_0^2(k_\perp \rho_i)\varphi(l) \, d^3 v_i$$

• Local approach

$$D_{loc} = \left(1 + \frac{T_i}{T_e}\right) - \int \frac{\omega - \omega_{\star i}^T}{\omega - \omega_{di}} \frac{F_{Mi}}{n_o} J_0^2(k_\perp \rho_i) \, d^3 \boldsymbol{v}_i$$

• Problematic \rightarrow local growth rate & freq solution $\omega(l)$

The ITG dispersion relation



• Dispersion relation $D(\omega, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}, \varphi) \rightarrow D_0(\omega, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}, \varphi)$

$$\left(1+\frac{T_i}{T_e}\right)\varphi = \int \frac{\omega - \omega_{\star i}^T}{\omega - \omega_{di}} \frac{F_{Mi}}{n_o} J_0^2(k_\perp \rho_i)\varphi d^3 \nu_i$$

• Local approach

$$D_{loc} = \left(1 + \frac{T_i}{T_e}\right) - \int \frac{\omega - \omega_{\star i}^T}{\omega - \omega_{di}} \frac{F_{Mi}}{n_o} J_0^2(k_\perp \rho_i) \, d^3 v_i$$

• Problematic \rightarrow local growth rate & freq solution $\omega(l)$

After executing the integrals



Integral to solve:
$$\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{\omega_{d} - \omega_{*}^{T}}{\omega} - \frac{\omega_{d} \cdot \omega_{*}^{T}}{\omega^{2}} \right) \exp\left(- \left(x_{\perp}^{2} + x_{\parallel}^{2} \right) \right) x_{\perp} J_{0}^{2} d\theta dx_{\parallel} dx_{\perp}$$
Have quadratic equation $\omega = \frac{-B \pm \sqrt{B^{2} - 4AC}}{2A}$
with $A = \Gamma_{0}(b) - \left(1 + \frac{T_{0i}}{T_{0e}} \right)$
 $B = \omega_{*}(1 - \eta b)\Gamma_{0}(b) + \eta b\Gamma_{1}(b) + \frac{\hat{\omega}_{d}}{2} \left((2 - b)\Gamma_{0}(b) + b\Gamma_{1}(b) \right)$
 $C = \frac{\hat{\omega}_{d}\omega_{*}}{2} \left(\left(\frac{(2 - b)\Gamma_{0}(b) + b\Gamma_{1}(b)}{2} \right) + \frac{\eta}{2} \left(\frac{2(b - 1)^{2}\Gamma_{0}(b) + (3 - 2b)\Gamma_{1}(b)}{2} \right) \right)$

Modified Bessel functions $\ \Gamma_n(b) = \exp(-b) I_n(b) \qquad b = rac{(k_\perp
ho)^2}{2}$

Obtaining a local solution for $\omega(k_{\perp})$



- Most obvious in strongly driven regime $\omega \sim \omega_{\star i} \gg \omega_{di}(l)$ ٠
- Expansion gives quadratic dispersion relation ٠



ITG beyond the local approach - method



- Eigenmode physics $\rightarrow \omega$ system property, not local quantity
- Neglected non-local quantity $D_{loc}(\omega, l)\varphi(l) = 0$
- Mode localisation should balance geometry variation
- Consider field-line global dispersion relation

$$D_{glob} = \int \underline{D}_{loc}(\omega, l) |\varphi(l)|^2 \frac{dl}{B(l)}$$

- Zeros $D_{glob} = 0$ satisfy variational property [20]
- $\{\Re[D_{glob}], \Im[D_{glob}]\} = \{0,0\} \rightarrow \text{can solve for unknown } \Re\{\omega\}, \Im\{\omega\}$

ITG beyond the local approach – first results



- Parameters: $a_{L_n} = 1.5$, $a_{L_T} = 4.5$ and flux-tube at s = 0.5
- Using GENE $\varphi(\theta) \rightarrow$ expect accurate reproduction of GENE ω (iff t-ITG)



ITG beyond the local approach – reintroducing the resonances



• Reintroduction of resonances in
$$D_{loc} \propto \int \frac{\omega - \omega_{\star i}^T}{\omega - \omega_{di}} \frac{F_{Mi}}{n_o} J_0^2(k_\perp \rho_i) d^3 v_i$$

$$\int \frac{\omega - \omega_{\star i}^{T}}{\omega - \omega_{di}} \frac{F_{Mi}}{n_{o}} J_{0}^{2}(k_{\perp}\rho_{i}) d^{3}\nu_{i} \rightarrow \Gamma_{0}(b) - \omega_{\star i} \left(1 - \frac{3}{2}\eta_{i}\right) \mathcal{J}^{0} + \frac{\omega_{\star i}}{2} \left(\frac{\omega_{\nabla B}}{\omega_{\star i}} - \eta_{i}\right) \mathcal{J}^{2}_{\perp} + \omega_{\star i} \left(\frac{\omega_{\kappa}}{\omega_{\star i}} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \mathcal{J}^{2}_{\parallel}$$

$$b = (k_{\perp}\rho_{Ti})^{2}$$

$$\omega_{di} = \omega_{\nabla B} \frac{v_{\perp}^{2}}{2v_{Ti}} + \omega_{\kappa} \frac{v_{\parallel}^{2}}{v_{T_{i}}^{2}}$$

$$\omega = \omega_{R} + i\gamma$$

$$\omega_{\star i}^{T} = \omega_{\star i} \left(1 + \eta_{i} \left(\frac{v^{2}}{2v_{T}^{2}} - \frac{3}{2} \right) \right)$$

$$\sigma_{\star i} = \text{Sgn } \gamma$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}^{0} &= \frac{1}{i\sigma_{\gamma}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i\sigma_{\gamma}\omega\xi}}{\sqrt{1+2i\sigma_{\gamma}\omega_{\kappa}\xi}} \frac{d\xi}{1+i\sigma_{\gamma}\omega_{\nabla B}\xi+b} \\ \mathcal{J}^{2}_{\perp} &= \frac{2}{i\sigma_{\gamma}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i\sigma_{\gamma}\omega\xi}}{\left(1+2i\sigma_{\gamma}\omega_{\kappa}\xi\right)^{3/2}} \frac{d\xi}{1+i\sigma_{\gamma}\omega_{\nabla B}\xi+b} \\ \mathcal{J}^{2}_{\parallel} &= \frac{1}{i\sigma_{\gamma}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i\sigma_{\gamma}\omega\xi}}{\left(1+2i\sigma_{\gamma}\omega_{\kappa}\xi\right)^{3/2}} \frac{d\xi}{1+i\sigma_{\gamma}\omega_{\nabla B}\xi+b} \end{aligned}$$

on the development of proxies for estimating electrostatic microinstability spectra

Beyond the global approach - results



• Including resonances \rightarrow significant quantitative improvements



Technically: locality issues solved with keeping $v_{\parallel} \nabla_{\parallel} g_a$



$$v_{\parallel} \nabla_{\parallel} g_a - i(\omega - \omega_{da}) g_a = -\frac{ie_a}{T_a} J_0 \phi \left(\omega - \omega_{*a}^T\right) f_{a0}$$

Expand the parallel term (still ordered small, but not neglected)

$$g = J_0(z) f_{\rm M} \frac{\omega - \omega_{*i}^{\rm T}}{\omega - \tilde{\omega}_{\rm di}} \left[1 - i \frac{v_{\parallel}}{\omega - \tilde{\omega}_{\rm di}} \frac{\partial}{\partial l} - \frac{v_{\parallel}^2}{(\omega - \tilde{\omega}_{\rm di})^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial l^2} \right] \frac{e\tilde{\varphi}}{T_{\rm i}}$$

[Wesson Tokamaks, Ch. 8 Eq. 8.3.5]

Now onto trapped-electron modes

Start from linearised, electrostatic gyrokinetic equation

$$v_{\parallel} \nabla_{\parallel} g_a - i(\omega - \omega_{da}) g_a = -\frac{ie_a}{T_a} J_0 \phi \left(\omega - \omega_{*a}^T\right) f_{a0}$$

Passing particles don't really drift

Trapped particles do! Within the surface! \rightarrow can resonate with drift waves









Now onto trapped-electron modes

Start from linearised, electrostatic gyrokinetic equation

$$v_{\parallel} \nabla_{\parallel} g_a - i(\omega - \omega_{da}) g_a = -\frac{ie_a}{T_a} J_0 \phi \left(\omega - \omega_{*a}^T\right) f_{a0}$$



- Keep electron dynamics
- Only trapped electrons experience a drift on average
- Movement fast so can average over this bounce motion
- First, obtain the solution g_a

iPad time 🙂



The trapped-particle mode (TPM)



(both ions and electrons are bouncing quickly compared with the mode frequency)

If the mode frequency is slow compared with the bounce motion of both ions and electrons,

 $\omega_{de,i} \ll \omega \ll \omega_{bi} \ll \omega_{be}$

use the solution

$$g_a = \sqrt{2\epsilon} \frac{e_a}{T_a} \overline{J_0 \phi} \frac{(\omega - \omega_{*a}^T)}{(\omega - \overline{\omega}_{da})} f_{a0}$$

And obtain the dispersion relation

$$\sum_{a} \frac{n_{a}e_{a}^{2}}{T_{a}}\phi = \sqrt{2\epsilon} \sum_{a} e_{a} \int_{\text{trapped}} \frac{e_{a}}{T_{a}} \overline{\phi} \frac{(\omega - \omega_{*a}^{T})}{(\omega - \overline{\omega}_{da})} f_{a0} d\mathbf{v}$$

The dispersion relation of the TPM

One time ϕ , one time with bounce average...

Star

rt from
$$\sum_{a} \frac{n_{a}e_{a}^{2}}{T_{a}} \phi = \sqrt{2\epsilon} \sum_{a} e_{a} \int_{\text{trapped}} \frac{e_{a}}{T_{a}} \overline{\phi} \frac{(\omega - \omega_{*a}^{T})}{(\omega - \overline{\omega}_{da})} f_{a0} d\mathbf{v}$$

Multiply by $n_e e^2 \phi^* / Te$

And integrate along field line dl/B

Assume small drift frequencies
$$\frac{1}{\omega - \overline{\omega}_{da}} = \frac{1}{\omega} \left(1 - \frac{\overline{\omega}_{da}}{\omega} \right)^{-1} \simeq \frac{1}{\omega} \left(1 + \frac{\overline{\omega}_{da}}{\omega} \right)$$

And notice:
$$\omega_{*i}=-\omega_{*e}/ au$$
 and $\omega_{di}=-\omega_{de}/ au$ with $au=T_e/T_i$

 $(1+\tau)\frac{n_e e^2}{T_e}\phi = \frac{2n_e e^2}{\sqrt{\pi^3}}\int \overline{\phi} e^{-x^2} \left[\frac{1+\tau}{T_e}\left(1-\frac{1}{\tau}\frac{\omega_{*e}^T\overline{\omega}_{de}}{\omega^2}\right)\right] d\mathbf{x} \text{ with } \mathbf{x} = \frac{v}{v_{Ta}}$ Obtain

Now: convenient coordinates trick! New velocity coordinates!

$$\mathbf{d}\mathbf{v} = 2\pi v_{\perp} \mathbf{d}v_{\perp} \mathbf{d}v_{\parallel} = \sum_{\sigma} \frac{B\pi v^3 \mathbf{d}v \mathbf{d}\lambda}{|v_{\parallel}|} \qquad \lambda = \frac{v_{\perp}^2}{v^2 B} = \frac{\mu}{E} \qquad \sigma = \frac{v_{\parallel}}{|v_{\parallel}|}$$



Turning ϕ also into a bounce average



With defining different trapping wells n and unique bounce times $\tau_{bn}(\lambda) = \int_{l_1(n)}^{l_2(n)} \frac{dl}{|v_{\parallel}|}$

$$\begin{split} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\phi|^2 \frac{\mathrm{d}l}{B} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi^3} v_{Te}^3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{\phi} \phi^* \frac{\mathrm{d}l}{B} \sum_{\sigma} \int_{0}^{\infty} \pi v^3 \mathrm{d}v \\ & \times \int_{0}^{1/B_{\min}} \mathrm{d}\lambda \frac{B}{|v_{\parallel}|} e^{-v^2/v_{Te}^2} \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{\tau} \frac{\omega_{*e}^T \overline{\omega}_{de}}{\omega^2} \right) \right] \\ &= \sum_{\sigma} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi^3} v_{Te}^3} \right) \int_{0}^{\infty} \pi v^3 \mathrm{d}v \int_{0}^{1/B_{\min}} \mathrm{d}\lambda \\ & \times \sum_{n} \int_{-l_1^{(n)}}^{l_2^{(n)}} \overline{\phi} \phi^* e^{-v^2/v_{Te}^2} \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{\tau} \frac{\omega_{*e}^T \overline{\omega}_{de}}{\omega^2} \right) \right] \frac{\mathrm{d}l}{|v_{\parallel}|} \end{split}$$

Obt

Obtain
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\phi|^2 \frac{dl}{B} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi^3} v_{Te}^3} \int_0^{\infty} \pi v^3 dv \int_0^{1/B_{\min}} d\lambda \sum_n |\overline{\phi}|^2 \tau_{bn} e^{-v^2/v_{Te}^2} \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{\tau} \frac{\omega_{*e}^T \overline{\omega}_{de}}{\omega^2} \right) \right]$$
Or
$$\omega^2 = -\frac{\frac{1}{\tau} \frac{1}{\pi^{3/2}} \frac{1}{v_{Te}^3}}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \phi \right|^2 \frac{dl}{B} - \frac{1}{\pi^{3/2}} \frac{1}{v_{Te}^3} \int_0^{\infty} \pi v^3 dv \int_0^{1/B_{\min}} d\lambda \sum_n |\overline{\phi}|^2 e^{-v^2/v_{Te}^2} \omega_{*e}^T \overline{\omega_{de}} \tau_{bn}}{d\lambda \sum_n |\overline{\phi}|^2 e^{-v^2/v_{Te}^2} \omega_{*e}^T \overline{\omega_{de}} \tau_{bn}}$$

We can juggle with some inequalities...



$$\omega^{2} = -\frac{\frac{1}{\tau} \frac{1}{\pi^{3/2}} \frac{1}{v_{Te}^{3}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \pi v^{3} \mathrm{d}v \int_{0}^{1/B_{\min}} \mathrm{d}\lambda \sum_{n} |\overline{\phi}|^{2} e^{-v^{2}/v_{Te}^{2}} \omega_{*e}^{T} \overline{\omega_{de}} \tau_{bn}}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\phi|^{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}l}{B} - \frac{1}{\pi^{3/2}} \frac{1}{v_{Te}^{3}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \pi v^{3} \mathrm{d}v \int_{0}^{1/B_{\min}} \mathrm{d}\lambda \sum_{n} |\overline{\phi}|^{2} e^{-v^{2}/v_{Te}^{2}} \tau_{bn}}$$

If we define an inner product like this: $\langle gf \rangle = \int g^* f \frac{dl}{|v_{\parallel}|}$

We can use Schwartz' inequality $|\langle gf \rangle|^2 \leq \langle ff \rangle \langle gg \rangle$ and thus $|\overline{\phi}|^2 \leq |\phi|^2$

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{\pi^{3/2}} \frac{1}{v_{Te}^3} \int_0^\infty \pi v^3 \, \mathrm{d}v \int_0^{1/B_{\min}} \, \mathrm{d}\lambda \sum_n |\overline{\phi}|^2 e^{-v^2/v_{Te}^2} \tau_{bn} \\ &\leq &\frac{1}{\pi^{3/2}} \frac{1}{v_{Te}^3} \int_0^\infty \pi v^3 \, \mathrm{d}v \int_0^{1/B_{\min}} \, \mathrm{d}\lambda \sum_n \overline{|\phi|^2} e^{-v^2/v_{Te}^2} \tau_{bn} \\ &= &\frac{1}{\pi^{3/2}} \frac{1}{v_{Te}^3} \int_0^\infty \pi v^3 \, \mathrm{d}v \int_0^{1/B_{\min}} \, \mathrm{d}\lambda \sum_\sigma \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}l}{|v_{\parallel}|} |\phi|^2 e^{-v^2/v_{Te}^2} \frac{B}{B} \\ &= &\frac{1}{\pi^{3/2}} \frac{1}{v_{Te}^3} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}l}{B} \sum_\sigma \int_0^\infty \pi v^3 \, \mathrm{d}v \int_0^{1/B_{\min}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\lambda B}{|v_{\parallel}|} |\phi|^2 e^{-v^2/v_{Te}^2} \frac{B}{B} \\ &= &\frac{1}{\pi^{3/2}} \frac{1}{v_{Te}^3} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}l}{B} |\phi|^2 \int e^{-v^2/v_{Te}^2} \mathrm{d}^3 v \\ &= &\int_{-\infty}^\infty |\phi|^2 \frac{\mathrm{d}l}{B}. \end{split}$$

Denominator is always positive!

Sign of ω^2 depends on sign of numerator Which only depends on the sign of $\omega_{*e}^T \overline{\omega}_{de}$

TEMs in different stellarators



W7-X





HSX

Trapped particles experience different curvature





Trapped-electron modes (∇n –driven) - linear

3.5

3

4.5

4

5





2.5

 $k_{y}\rho_{s}$

2

-0.8 -1

0.5

1.5

Linear simulations with GENE with $a/L_n=3$

In W7-X: generally small growth rates, mostly iTEM

In W7-X: typical TEM (or are they?) (negative ω) only at smallest k

Electron-driven TEM indeed weak in W7-X

Trapped-electron modes (∇n –driven) - nonlinear





In nonlinear simulations: W7-X has indeed low heat flux (even with surface-to-volume corrected)

Note: HSX heat flux also low (c.f. high linear growth rates)



More fancy ways to do the TPM calculation (and go to more arbitrary frequency orderings)

Not ignore the resonance, i.e. not order ω_d small



- Obtain the same criterioin for TPMs to be stable: $\omega_{*a}\overline{\omega}_{da} < 0$
- But it's still only for $\omega_{de,i} \ll \omega \ll \omega_{bi} \ll \omega_{be}$

OR: go bold and super general with the solutions for $g_a \sum_{\sigma} g_{a,p}(l) = + \frac{e_a f_{a0}}{\pi T_a} \left(\omega - \omega_{*a}^T \right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{\omega - t} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi J_0 \cos M(t, l, l') \frac{dl'}{|v_{\parallel}|} \right|$

rate
$$rac{\Delta E}{\Delta t} \propto -\int \mathrm{d}\lambda \omega_{*e}^T ar{\omega}_{de}$$

So if all electrons satisfy $\overline{\omega}_{de} \omega_{*e}^T < 0$ \rightarrow all electrons draw energy from the mode \rightarrow no electron-driven TEMs can exist!

 $\sum_{\sigma} g_{a,t} = \frac{2e_a f_{a0}}{T_a} \frac{\omega - \omega_{*a}^1}{\sin(M(\omega, l_1, l_2))} \int_{l_a}^{l_2} \frac{dl'}{|v_{\parallel}|} \phi J_0$

 $\times \cos \left(M(\omega, l_1, l_1) \right) \cos \left(M(\omega, l_1, l_2) \right)$

Conclusions and note of warning

- One can derive dispersion relations for ITGs and TEMs and learn quite a bit about stability properties and dependence on the geometry from those
- Common tricks are related to limiting yourself to distinct frequency ranges, which allows
 - kicking out terms of the GK equation because they're small or averaging them out
 - Ordering the drift frequency small
- Generally:
 - negative ("bad") curvature is ... bad.
 - Overlap between bad curvature and magnetic minima is bad
 - Quasi-isodynamic configurations (W7-X approaches it) are great for TEMs
- Can use these learnings for optimisation! A lot of work going on at the moment
- But: still a lot to do:
 - Zoo of other instabilities (Kinetic ballooning modes (EM), Microtearing modes (MTM), universal instabilities (UI)....
 - The linear results are only half the truth need to understand saturation physics as well
 → nonlinear simulations are a safe bet CUE: Rogerio tomorrow ☺