Introduction to kinetic modeling in low-temperature plasmas

Igor D. Kaganovich

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, USA



Outline

- 1. Motivation: applications of low-temperature plasmas.
- 2. Plasma processing for semiconductor production equipment makers: \$20B/year industry
- 3. Plasma sources for semiconductor manufacturing
- 4. Plasma needs to be considered using kinetic theory
- 5. Particle-in-cell codes
- 6. Quantum Chemistry Codes
- 7. Princeton collaborative user facility
- 8. Conclusions



2

1. Motivation: Applications of low-temperature plasmas Why low temperature plasma is important?

Multiple multibillion-dollar industries:

- Plasma processing, \$15-20 B/year wafer fab equipment for 2T/year semiconductor industry;
 - (R. Gottscho, et al, White Paper for Plasma2020)
- Thin films;
- Electric propulsion;
- Nanomaterial synthesis;
- Advanced plasma manufacturing (welding, cutting);
- Plasma switches for electric grids and pulsed power.



Low Temperature Plasma Applications





2. Plasma processing for semiconductor production equipment makers: \$20B/year industry

Plasma Enhanced Technologies







Straight holes like these can be etched with low temperature plasmas.



Birthplace of solid-state microelectronics: Bell Laboratories, Murray Hill, NJ



DEDARTMEN

A HOME FOR THE MIND, THE HEART AND THE SPIRIT

Slide curtesy of J. Lopez, Seton Hall University

DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS



Low- Temperature Plasma enabled Microchip Fabrication





https://eenews.cdnartwhere.eu/sites/default/files/styles/inner_article/public/sites/default/files/images/chipproductio n630_0.jpg?itok=rzZu2ANq

Reference: Demetre J. Economou. Modeling and Simulation of plasma etching reactors for microelectronics. Thin Solid Films. Vol. 365, Issue 2, p. 348-367. April 2000.



A HOME FOR THE MIND, THE HEART AND THE SPIRIT

Slide curtesy of J. Lopez, Seton Hall University

DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS

Plasma processing, \$15-20 B/year wafer fab equipment for 2T/year semiconductor industry.

- The CHIPS for America Act includes a range of federal investments to advance U.S. semiconductor manufacturing. The bill also includes a refundable investment tax credit \$10 billion for a new federal grant program that would incentivize new domestic semiconductor manufacturing facilities.
- Research is critical to advancing semiconductor innovation in the U.S. American semiconductor design and manufacturing companies invest approximately one-fifth of revenue in R&D, almost \$40 billion in 2019 (https://www.semiconductors.org/chips-for-america-act-would-strengthen-u-s-semiconductor-manufacturing-innovation/)
- PPPL: the Princeton Plasma Innovation Center (**PPIC**). New national facility will explore low-temperature plasma a dynamic source of innovation for modern technologies. https://www.princeton.edu/news/2019/09/05/new-national-facility-will-explore-low-temperature-plasma-dynamic-source-innovation

3. Plasma Sources for semiconductor manufacturing

- Capacitively-coupled plasmas CCP
- Inductively-coupled discharges ICP
- Electron and Ion beams

Electron beam generated plasma processing system

Large Area Plasma Processing System (LAPPS)*





S.G. Walton et al, Plasma Proc. and Poly. 5, 453 (2008)



M. Baraket et al, App. Phys. Lett. 100, 233123 (2012)





background

Basic Operation for Processing System

· High-energy (few keV), sheet beam injected into

Magnetically collimated to minimize spreading

Creates plasma sheet parallel to substrate surface

Large amount of flexibility in system design

Plasma needs to be considered using kinetic theory

Most remote from thermodynamic equilibrium:
 – T_e differs from T_i

3eV	3 10 ⁻² eV	glow discharges
3 10 ⁻³ eV	3 10 ⁻² eV	afterglow
10keV	1eV	ECR ion sources

- Electron, ion, photon energy distribution functions (DF) are all nonMaxwellian:
 - Parts of the DFs are very flexible and almost independent.



Plasma parameters in CCP and ICP

- Plasma density $n = 10^9 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-3}$
- Gas pressure = few mTorr
- Small degree of ionization $< 10^{-4}$
- Electron temperature $T_e = \text{few eV}$
- Ion temperature $T_i = 0.03 \text{ eV}$
- Spatial scale = mm- m



Global model: particle balance determines T_e

Assuming steady-state and a Maxwellian Electron Energy Distribution Function =>

- Rate of plasma production = rate of plasma loss,
- Ionization frequency = loss frequency to the wall

$$v_{iz}(T_e) = v_{loss}(T_e)$$

$$v_{iz} = n_g V_T \sigma_{iz} e^{-I/T_e},$$

$$v_{loss} = \gamma C_s / L \quad C_s = \sqrt{T_e / M}$$

$$n_g V_T \sigma_{iz} e^{-I/T_e} = \gamma \frac{\sqrt{T_e / M}}{L}$$



V. Godyak's experiment is benchmark.

The Inductively Coupled Plasmas (ICP)

argon gas pressures 0.3-300 mTorr

f=0.45-13.56 MHz

rf power 6-400 W.



Figure 1. Experimental discharge chamber.

V. A. Godyak, R. B. Piejak, and B. M. Alexandrovich, J. Appl. Phys. 85, 703 (1999).

Experiment (left) vs global model (right)

$$n_g V_T \sigma_{iz} e^{-I/T_e} = \gamma \frac{\sqrt{T_e/M}}{L} \quad \uparrow n_g L \quad \downarrow T_e$$

 $\rm T_{e}$ as a function of gas pressure at f=6.78 MHz





I need someone well versed in the art of torture—do you know PowerPoint?

TABLE 1.1. Range of Parameters for rf Diode and High-Density Discharges

Parameter	rf Diode	High-Density Source 0.5-50
Pressure p (mTorr)	10-1000	
Power P (W)	50-2000	100-5000
Frequency $f(MHz)$	0.05 - 13.56	0-2450
Volume V (L)	1 - 10	2-50
Cross-sectional area A (cm ²)	300-2000	300-500
Magnetic field B (kG)	0	0-1
Plasma density n (cm ⁻³)	$10^9 - 10^{11}$	$10^{10} - 10^{12}$
Electron temperature $T_e(V)$	1-5	2-7
Ion acceleration energy $\mathcal{E}_{i}(V)$	200-1000	20-500
Fractional ionization x_{iz}	$10^{-6} - 10^{-3}$	$10^{-4} - 10^{-1}$



FIGURE 1.13. The physical model of an rf diode (after Lieberman and Gottscho, 1994).

J= or +52



Discharge modeling needs to be kinetic!



For more info:

Electron energy distribution functions (EEDF) are non-Maxwellian:

- Parts of the EEDF are very flexible and almost independent.
- An example of a EEDF in capacitive discharge.

V. Godyak, IEEE TPS 34, 755 (2006).

Cooling is due to energy losses in elastic and inelastic collisions.

$$e, \varepsilon$$
 => $e, \varepsilon - l$ v

Mixing is due to electron-electron collisions.



Electron-electron collisions make EEDF a Maxwellian! => If $v_{ee} >> v^*$ or the degree of ionization, $n_e/n_g > 10^{-4}$, EEDF is a Maxwellian;

If
$$v_{ee} \ll v^*$$
 or $n_e/n_g \ll 10^{-4}$, EEDF can have any shape,

EEDF in nitrogen, constant electric field



Nonlocal, nonlinear electron kinetics

• Formation of non-Maxwellian, non-uniform, f(v,r,t) in self-consistent electric field strongly coupled to plasma density profile through ionization and transport for realistic discharge plasmas.



B=10 G B=20 G

axial position (cm)

19

- Igor D. Kaganovich et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 1999, 2000, 2002, 2009, 2012, 2013;
- Valery Godyak et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 1990,1992, 1996, 1998, 1999.

EEDF in decaying plasma



5. Particle-in-cell codes (PIC) Preferred Computational Tool - PIC

Parallel, implicit particle-in-cell code with energy corrections and noise control is a powerful and versatile tool.

- Ease of coding
- Modular, "easy" for parallelization
- Modern clusters and multi cores PCs are cheap

Selected PPPL computational and scientific accomplishments using PIC



3D code for Plasma Panel Modeling

Up to 250 million of ions and electrons on up to 64 processors, up to 150x150x150 meshes

V. N. Khudik, A. Shvydky, V. P. Nagorny, and C.E. Theodosiou, *IEEE Trans Plasma Sci.* **33**, 510 (2005).





Discharge evolution experiment







V. N. Khudik, A. Shvydky, V. P. Nagorny, and C.E. Theodosiou, *IEEE Trans Plasma Sci.* **33**, 510 (2005).





6. Quantum Chemistry Codes

Quantum chemistry calculations for predictions of carbon and boron nitride nanotube synthesis mechanisms, see nano.pppl.gov

Carbon chains and fullerenes



Carbon diffusion



boron nitride fullerenes



boron nitride feedstock evolution



boron nitride tubes formation





Conclusions

•Plasma processing is a multibillion dollar industry in revenues with inadequately funded fundamental research support.

•Semiconductor equipment requires precise control of plasma surface interaction. Partially-ionized plasmas allows for such control because of great availability in choices of electron, ion and photon energy distribution functions that can be designed and controlled according to required specifications.

•Industry will greatly benefit from innovative methods of designing electron, ion and photon energy distribution functions delivered to required surfaces.

•Examples of such innovative methods for crafting electron, ion and photon energy distribution functions have been recently developed.



PPPL Princeton Collaborative Research Facility

٠

- Established by DOE FES
- PPPL is the lead
- Emphasis: Integrated experimental and modeling efforts on LTP
- Research staff: 6
- Has external research collaboration program (User Program)

- **Research topics:**
 - Interactions between plasma and solid state
 - Plasma-induced surface modifications
 - Surface-induced effects on plasma
 - Interfacial plasma
 - Plasma-liquid interactions
 - Coherent structures
 - Nanoparticles-plasma interactions
 - Turbulence in plasma

15



PPPL Princeton Collaborative Research Facility

Hall Thruster Experiment (HTX)



Laboratory of Laser Diagnostics



Laboratory for Plasma Nanosynthesis



Surface Science Lab



- Total lab space exceeds 5000 sq. ft
- Host unique plasma sources and advanced diagnostics for LTP plasmas and applications
- Supported by DOE (FES, BES), DOD
 <u>http://htx.pppl.gov</u> & <u>http://nano.pppl.gov</u> 19
- + Princeton University Facility, see talk by A. Dogariu

PPPL Princeton Collaborative Research Facility

PCRF LTP diagnostics available at PPPL



+ Princeton University Diagnostics, see talk by A. Dogariu

Grating vector

 $k=2\pi/\lambda_{k}-k$

Sublimation

Annealing

Conduction

Ŷ

?.

Radiation

Oxidation

29

29

 $\Delta f \lambda_{pump}$

sin ø

Computational Tools for LTP Modeling

- Particle-in-cell codes (2D EDIPIC, 3D PPPLmodified LSP)
 - state of the art collision models and plasma-surface interaction, validated by numerous benchmarks
- Fluid codes (3D ANSYS)
 - implemented sheath models, MHD effects, surface
- Molecular Dynamics (DFT-TB)
 - DFT codes: full and tight binding approximation, CMD (classical potentials), KMC –kinetic Monte Carlo, and thermodynamic code for chemical composition.



High-pressure arc



Nanoparticles growth

